# **Complete Summary**

#### TITLE

Nuclear medicine - radionuclide bone imaging: percentage of final reports for all patients, regardless of age, undergoing bone scintigraphy that include physician documentation of correlation with existing relevant imaging studies (e.g., x-ray, MRI, CT) that were performed.

# SOURCE(S)

Society of Nuclear Medicine (SNM), Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®. Nuclear medicine: radionuclide bone imaging physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association; 2008 Feb 29. 14 p. [6 references]

# **Measure Domain**

#### PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

**Process** 

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the Measure Validity page.

## SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Does not apply to this measure

## **Brief Abstract**

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This measure is used to assess the percentage of final reports for all patients, regardless of age, undergoing bone scintigraphy that include physician documentation of correlation with existing relevant imaging studies (e.g., x-ray, magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], computed tomography [CT]) that were performed.

#### **RATIONALE**

Radionuclide bone imaging plays an integral part in tumor staging and management; the majority of bone scans are performed in patients with a diagnosis of malignancy, especially carcinoma of the breast, prostate gland, and

lung. This modality is extremely sensitive for detecting skeletal abnormalities, and numerous studies have confirmed that it is considerably more sensitive than conventional radiography for this purpose. However, the specificity of bone scan abnormalities can be low since many other conditions may mimic tumor; therefore, it is important that radionuclide bone scans are correlated with available, relevant imaging studies. Existing imaging studies that are available can help inform the diagnosis and treatment for the patient. Furthermore, correlation with existing radiographs is considered essential to insure that benign conditions are not interpreted as tumor. While there are no formal studies on variations in care in how often correlation with existing studies is not performed, there is significant anecdotal information from physicians practicing in the field that there is a gap in care and that correlation is not occurring frequently when images are available.

Literature suggests that as many as 30% of Radiology reports contain errors, regardless of the imaging modality, Radiologist's experience, or time spent in interpretation. Evidence has also suggested that Radiology reports are largely non-standardized and commonly incomplete, vague, untimely, and error-prone and may not serve the needs of referring physicians. Therefore, it is imperative that existing imaging reports be correlated with the Nuclear Medicine bone scintigraphy procedure to ensure proper diagnosis and appropriate patient treatment.

The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted <u>verbatim</u> from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:

Bone scintigraphic abnormalities should be correlated with appropriate physical examination and imaging studies to ascertain that osseous or soft-tissue abnormalities, which might cause cord or other nerve compression or pathologic fracture in an extremity, are not present. (Society of Nuclear Medicine [SNM], 2003)

Relevant radiographs and/or magnetic resonance (MR) imaging of painful sites to exclude cord compression or severe lytic lesions which carry an increased risk of pathologic fracture should be examined by the physician. (SNM, 2003)

## PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Nuclear medicine; radionuclide bone imaging; bone scintigraphy; final report; documentation of correlation with existing relevant imaging studies

## **DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION**

All final reports for patients, regardless of age, undergoing bone scintigraphy (see the related "Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions" field in the Complete Summary)

#### **NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION**

Final reports that include physician documentation of correlation with existing relevant\* imaging studies (e.g., x-ray, magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], computed tomography [CT], etc.)

\*Relevant imaging studies are defined as studies that correspond to the same anatomical region in question.

# **Evidence Supporting the Measure**

# **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE CRITERION OF QUALITY**

- A clinical practice guideline or other peer-reviewed synthesis of the clinical evidence
- One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

# **Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure**

## **NEED FOR THE MEASURE**

Unspecified

# **State of Use of the Measure**

## **STATE OF USE**

Current routine use

# **CURRENT USE**

Internal quality improvement National reporting

# **Application of Measure in its Current Use**

## **CARE SETTING**

Ambulatory Care Hospitals

# PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

**Physicians** 

# LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

**Individual Clinicians** 

## **TARGET POPULATION AGE**

All ages

# **TARGET POPULATION GENDER**

Either male or female

# STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

# **Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component**

# INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

# **ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS**

Unspecified

# **BURDEN OF ILLNESS**

Unspecified

# **UTILIZATION**

Unspecified

# **COSTS**

Unspecified

# **Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories**

# **IOM CARE NEED**

Getting Better Living with Illness

# **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness

# **Data Collection for the Measure**

# **CASE FINDING**

Users of care only

# **DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING**

All final reports for patients, regardless of age, undergoing bone scintigraphy

# **DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME**

Patients associated with provider

# **DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS**

#### **Inclusions**

All final reports for patients, regardless of age, undergoing bone scintigraphy

#### **Exclusions**

System reason for not documenting correlation with existing relevant imaging studies in final report (e.g., no existing relevant imaging study available\*, patient did not have a previous relevant imaging study)

\*Correlative studies are considered to be unavailable if relevant studies (reports and/or actual examination material) from other imaging modalities exist but could not be obtained after reasonable efforts to retrieve the studies are made by the interpreting physician prior to the finalization of the bone scintigraphy report.

#### RELATIONSHIP OF DENOMINATOR TO NUMERATOR

All cases in the denominator are equally eligible to appear in the numerator

# **DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT**

Diagnostic Evaluation Encounter

# **DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW**

Time window is a single point in time

# **NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS**

#### **Inclusions**

Final reports that include physician documentation of correlation with existing relevant\* imaging studies (e.g., x-ray, magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], computed tomography [CT], etc.)

\*Relevant imaging studies are defined as studies that correspond to the same anatomical region in question.

#### **Exclusions**

None

# MEASURE RESULTS UNDER CONTROL OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR POLICYMAKERS

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

#### NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Encounter or point in time

# **DATA SOURCE**

Administrative data Medical record

# **LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY**

Individual Case

# **PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED**

Unspecified

# **Computation of the Measure**

## **SCORING**

Rate

## **INTERPRETATION OF SCORE**

Better quality is associated with a higher score

## **ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS**

Unspecified

# STANDARD OF COMPARISON

Internal time comparison

# **Evaluation of Measure Properties**

# **EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING**

Unspecified

# **Identifying Information**

## **ORIGINAL TITLE**

Measure #1: correlation with existing imaging studies for all patients undergoing bone scintigraphy.

# **MEASURE COLLECTION**

## **MEASURE SET NAME**

<u>Nuclear Medicine: Radionuclide Bone Imaging Physician Performance</u>

Measurement Set

#### **SUBMITTER**

American Medical Association on behalf of the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® and Society of Nuclear Medicine

#### **DEVELOPER**

Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® Society of Nuclear Medicine

# **FUNDING SOURCE(S)**

Unspecified

# **COMPOSITION OF THE GROUP THAT DEVELOPED THE MEASURE**

Robert Henkin, MD, FACNP, FACR (*Co-Chair*) (Nuclear Medicine); Paul Wallner, DO, FACR, FAOCR, FASTRO (*Co-Chair*) (Radiation Oncology); Sue Abreu, MD, FACNP (Nuclear Medicine); Terence Beven, MD, FACNP (Nuclear Medicine); Gary L. Dillehay, MD, FACR, FACNP (Radiology & Nuclear Medicine); Gregory A. Francken, MD (Diagnostic Radiology); Mark Gebhardt, MD (Orthopedic Surgery); Leonie Gordon, MD, FACNP (Nuclear Medicine); Kenneth McKusick, MD, FACR, FACNP (Radiology & Nuclear Medicine); Haydee Muse, MD (Health Plan representative, Internal Medicine & Pulmonary Medicine); Henry D. Royal, MD, FACR, FACNP (Nuclear Medicine & Internal Medicine); John Schneider, MD, PhD (Internal Medicine); William G. Spies, MD, FACR (Radiology & Nuclear Medicine); Amol M. Takalkar, MD, FACNP (Nuclear Medicine); Robert Wagner, MDMD, MSMIS, FACNP (Nuclear Medicine); Elizabeth Yung, MD (Radiology & Nuclear Medicine)

American Medical Association: Joseph Gave, MPH; Kendra Hanley, MS, CHE; Karen Kmetik, PhD; Shannon Sims, MD, PhD; Beth Tapper, MA

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Service: Sue Nedza, MD, MBA, FACEP; Sylvia Publ, MBA, RHIA

Consortium Consultants: Rebecca Kresowik; Timothy Kresowik, MD

Society of Nuclear Medicine: Emily Gardner; Denise A. Merlino, MBA, CNMT, CPC

American College of Radiology: Carolyn R. MacFarlane, MS, CNMT; Judy McKenzie

#### FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/OTHER POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Conflicts, if any, are disclosed in accordance with the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® conflict of interest policy.

## **ENDORSER**

National Quality Forum

#### **INCLUDED IN**

Physician Quality Reporting Initiative

# **ADAPTATION**

Measure was not adapted from another source.

#### **RELEASE DATE**

2008 Feb

## **MEASURE STATUS**

This is the current release of the measure.

# SOURCE(S)

Society of Nuclear Medicine (SNM), Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®. Nuclear medicine: radionuclide bone imaging physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association; 2008 Feb 29. 14 p. [6 references]

# **MEASURE AVAILABILITY**

The individual measure, "Measure #1: Correlation with Existing Imaging Studies for All Patients Undergoing Bone Scintigraphy," is published in the "Nuclear Medicine: Radionuclide Bone Imaging Physician Performance Measurement Set." This document and technical specifications are available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the American Medical Association (AMA)-convened Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® Web site: <a href="https://www.physicianconsortium.org">www.physicianconsortium.org</a>.

For further information, please contact AMA staff by email at <a href="mailto:cqi@ama-assn.org">cqi@ama-assn.org</a>.

# **NQMC STATUS**

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on February 24, 2009. The information was verified by the measure developer on April 13, 2009.

# **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

© 2007 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

## **Disclaimer**

# **NQMC DISCLAIMER**

The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse™ (NQMC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the measures represented on this site.

All measures summarized by NQMC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public and private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, individuals, and similar entities.

Measures represented on the NQMC Web site are submitted by measure developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NQMC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at

http://www.qualitymeasures.ahrq.gov/about/inclusion.aspx.

NQMC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or its reliability and/or validity of the quality measures and related materials represented on this site. The inclusion or hosting of measures in NQMC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding measure content are directed to contact the measure developer.

Copyright/Permission Requests

Date Modified: 5/11/2009

